arXiv:1701.06320v1 [math.DS] 23 Jan 2017

INVARIANT GRAPHS OF A FAMILY OF NON-UNIFORMLY EXPANDING SKEW PRODUCTS OVER MARKOV MAPS

C. P. WALKDEN AND T. WITHERS

Abstract. We consider a family of skew-products of the form $(Tx, g_x(t)) : X \times \mathbb{R} \to X \times \mathbb{R}$ where T is a continuous expanding Markov map and $g_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a family of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$. A function $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an *invariant graph* if $\mathrm{graph}(u) = \{(x, u(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ is an invariant set for the skew-product; equivalently if $u(T(x)) = g_x(u(x))$. A well-studied problem is to consider the existence, regularity and dimension-theoretic properties of such functions, usually under strong contraction or expansion conditions (in terms of Lyapunov exponents or partial hyperbolicity) in the fibre direction. Here we consider such problems in a setting where the Lyapunov exponent in the fibre direction is zero on a set of periodic orbits. We prove that u either has the structure of a 'quasi-graph' (or 'bony graph') or is as smooth as the dynamics, and we give a criteria for this to happen.

1. Introduction and results

1.1. **Introduction.** Let T be a continuous, expanding Markov map of the circle, X. Consider the skew product dynamical system $\tilde{T}_g : X \times \mathbb{R} \to X \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde{T}_g(x, t) = (Tx, g(x, t)). \tag{1}$$

where $g(x, t) : X \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. A function $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an *invariant graph* if $\mathrm{graph}(u) = \{(x, u(x))\}$ is $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant; equivalently

$$u(Tx) = g(x, u(x)). \tag{2}$$

We refer to X as the *base* and $\mathbb{R}$ as the *fibre*. We are interested in the case when $g(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism; we normally write $g_x(t) = g(x, t)$, $g_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and refer to $g_x(\cdot)$ as a *skewing function*. When g_x is uniformly expanding, the invariant graph exists, is Hölder continuous and, under a partial hyperbolicity assumption, generically has no higher regularity. As a particular example, let $b \geq 2, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Tx = bx \bmod 1$. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda b > 1$, and let $g_x(t) = \cos(2\pi x) + \lambda^{-1} t$. In this case the invariant graph $u(x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \cos 2\pi b^n x$, the classical Weierstrass function.

More generally, if the Lyapunov exponent in the fibre direction is positive with respect to a given reference measure, then the invariant graph is measurable, (2) holds almost everywhere, and generically is not continuous [Sta99, HNW02]. Note that [HNW02] requires a partial hyperbolicity assumption on the skew-product.

In this note, we alter the non-uniform contraction condition in the fibre and assume that the Lyapunov exponent in the fibre direction is zero for certain measures. In particular, we consider the case when the skewing function is the identity map on a given set of periodic orbits. We construct a family of measurable invariant sets for the skew product and identify the set of measure zero on which (2) fails. Our invariant sets are generically almost everywhere graphs of functions that are discontinuous on every open set and are almost everywhere uniformly bounded.

We describe the precise structure of the invariant sets. The following dichotomy holds: either the invariant set is of a discontinuous nature of the form described above or is as smooth as the dynamics. The former case is generic; in this case (together with a partial hyperbolicity assumption) we also calculate the box dimension of the invariant set in terms of thermodynamic formalism.

The invariant sets we obtain are an example of a family of so-called bony attractors—a *bony attractor* is a closed set that intersects every almost every fibre at a single point and any other fibre at an interval.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C70, 37D25, 37C45.

Key words and phrases. Invariant graph, skew product, bony graph.

T. Withers was partially supported by an EPSRC DTA.

These sets were first described by [Kud10] and other examples occur in [KV14, GH16] as attractors of step functions over shift maps.



An invariant graph defined on $X \setminus R_P$.



The quasi-graph invariant set.

FIGURE 1. The invariant graph and an invariant set (quasi-graph) of the affine real-valued skew product $\tilde{T}_g(x,t) = (Tx, \sin(2\pi x) + \gamma^{-1}(x)t)$, where $\gamma(x) = \frac{3+\cos(2\pi x)}{4}$ and where T is the doubling map. The skew product is the identity at the fixed point $x = 0$ and the invariant graph is discontinuous at all pre-images of 0.

1.2. **Results.** Let $\tilde{T}_g$ be a skew product as defined in (1). We write $g(x,t) = g_x(t)$ and assume that, for each $x \in X$, $g_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism. We also assume that, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto g_x(t)$ is α-Hölder continuous. We define $g_x^n(t) = g_{T^{n-1}x} g_{T^{n-2}x} \cdots g_x(t)$ so that $\tilde{T}_g^n(x,t) = (T^n x, g_x^n(t))$. We define $h_x(t) = g_x(t)^{-1}$ so that $g_x^n(t)^{-1} = h_x^n(t) = h_x \cdots h_{T^{n-1}x}(t)$. Let $P := \bigcup_{r=0}^{\rho-1} P_r \subset X$ be a collection of ρ distinct periodic orbits for $T : X \to X$. For $p \in P$ we denote by $\ell(p)$ the least period of p. Let R_P be the set of pre-images of points in P; that is

$$R_P = \{x \in X \mid T^n x \in P \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Note that this is a countable set. We will often consider the set R_P and its complement $X \setminus R_P$ separately; both sets are T-invariant.

If $h_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a diffeomorphism, we define the *derivative in the fibre direction* to be

$$\partial h_x(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{h_x(t+\epsilon) - h_x(t)}{\epsilon}.$$

In §1.3 we will precisely define a set of skew products $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$ where $\tilde{T}_g$ is expanding in the fibre direction except along the periodic orbits in P where $g_p^{\ell(p)}(t) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall abuse notation slightly and write $g \in C_P^\alpha$.

Remark 1.1. Examples of skew products that satisfy our hypotheses include affine maps $g_x(t) = f(x) + \gamma(x)^{-1}t$ where f, γ are α-Hölder with $\gamma(p) = 1$, $f(p) = 0$ for $p \in P$ and otherwise $0 < \gamma(x) < 1$. Our conditions remain satisfied for diffeomorphisms $g : X \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ defined by small, sufficiently smooth perturbations of affine maps preserving conditions (6) to (9) below. Figure 1 shows an explicit example.

First, we show that such invariant graphs exist. We prove that we have a unique invariant function u on $X \setminus R_P$; hence the graph of this function is an invariant set of the restricted skew product $\tilde{T}_g|_{X \setminus R_P}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$. There exists a uniformly bounded function $u : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(Tx) = g_x(u(x))$ for $x \in X \setminus R_P$. Any other uniformly bounded function $v : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying this equation is equal to u; moreover, if μ is an ergodic measure for T not supported on R_P, then u is μ-a.e. unique amongst the set of measurable functions.*

We prove the following corollary to Theorem 1, showing that u is uniquely defined on $X \setminus R_P$ but can be arbitrarily defined on R_P.

Corollary 2. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$ and let u be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that P consists of ρ periodic orbits. For each r such that $0 \leq r \leq \rho - 1$, choose one element of each periodic orbit $p \in P_r \subset P$. There is a ρ-parameter family of invariant graphs for the skew product, $u_s : X \to \mathbb{R}$, where $u_s(p) = s_r$ for $s = (s_0, \ldots, s_{\rho-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$. Furthermore, $u_s|_{X \setminus R_P} = u$.*

Clearly, for $x \in R_P$, each fibre $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant; by taking the union we have a dense $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant set. As $X \setminus R_P$ is dense in X, we can consider a sequence $x_0 \to x$ for any $x \in R_P$ where each point $x_0 \in X \setminus R_P$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$. Define the *invariant quasi-graph* $U \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ as $U = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x$ where

$$U_x = \begin{cases} (x, u(x)) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus R_P, \\ \{x\} \times [\liminf_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y), \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y)] & \text{if } x \in R_P. \end{cases}$$

In other words, we connect the discontinuities between the values of the function u as we approach R_P; see Figure 1. Denote the length of the interval of the quasi-graph at $x \in R_P$ by

$$|U_x| = \left| \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y) - \liminf_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y) \right|;$$

if $x \in X \setminus R_P$ then we set $|U_x| = 0$. In Proposition 4.1 we show that U is a $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant set.

We prove two main results about quasi-graphs, reminiscent of those found in the studies of Weierstrass functions, [Bar15, HL93] and for dynamically-defined invariant graphs [Sta99, HNW02]. The first is a dichotomy of the structure of the invariant graphs.

Theorem 3. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$. Then either:*

1. *the invariant quasi-graph U is the graph of a uniformly α-Hölder continuous function;*
2. *for every $x \in R_P$, $|U_x| > 0$.*

When we are in the second case, we will often say "the quasi-graph U is not the graph of an invariant function". As R_P is dense in X, we see that U is not the graph of a function on any open set and has a 'vertical jump' at each element of R_P. It is easy to construct examples of continuous invariant graphs, but our second result proves that generically U is of the discontinuous type.

Theorem 4. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$. There exists a C^α-open and C^0-dense set of $g \in C^\alpha_P$ such that the invariant quasi-graph U of $\tilde{T}_g$ is not the graph of a function.*

For higher regularity of u, we need higher regularity on the base dynamics T and we consider $C^{r+\alpha}$-expanding endomorphisms of the circle, with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. We also assume that that $g_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$ and, for each t, $x \mapsto g_x(t)$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$. Again, we abuse notation slightly and write $g \in C^{r+\alpha}_P$. Denote this set of skew products by $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}_{C^{r+\alpha}}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{r+\alpha}_P)$. In this case we can strengthen the above dichotomy: if U is the graph of a function then it is as smooth as the dynamics.

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}_{C^{r+\alpha}}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{r+\alpha}_P)$. Then either:*

1. *the invariant quasi-graph U is the graph of a $C^{r+\alpha}$ function;*
2. *for every $x \in R_P$, $|U_x| > 0$.*

Our final result concerns the box dimension of the invariant quasi-graphs. Our result extends that in [Bed89] to our setting, and we believe it is the first attempt to calculate the dimension of invariant graphs of non-uniformly expanding skew products. The key difficulty is establishing a sufficiently strong form of bounded distortion.

Let $q : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $\mathfrak{m}(q) = \inf_{x \in X} q(x)$. Suppose $\partial g_x(t) > 0$; we will show in Remark 3.2 that this causes no loss in generality. A skew product is *partially hyperbolic* if there exists $\kappa > 1$ such that

$$1 < \kappa \leq \mathfrak{m}(\partial h)\mathfrak{m}(|T'|) \tag{3}$$

where the infimum $\mathfrak{m}(\partial h)$ is taken over all $(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ and $h_x = g_x^{-1}$.

Suppose that $g : X \to C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is a C^2 diffeomorphism and we return to allowing $T : X \to X$ to be a continuous expanding Markov map (with conditions specified in §1.3). Let $x \mapsto h_x t$, $x \mapsto \partial h_x(t)$ and $x \mapsto \partial^2 h_x(t)$ be Lipschitz continuous. Denote this set of skew products by $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{\mathrm{Lip},2}_P) \subset \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$.

Define the function

$$\mathcal{D}h(x) = \begin{cases} \partial h_x(u(Tx)) & \text{for } x \in X \setminus R_P, \\ \partial h_x(t) & \text{for } x \in R_P, \text{ where } t = \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to Tx} u(y). \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ be the topological pressure of a function $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, see Definition 7.12. We prove the following.

Theorem 6. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic such that the invariant quasi-graph is not the graph of a continuous function on X. The box dimension of the quasi-graph U is the unique solution t to the generalised Bowen equation*

$$\mathcal{P}((1-t)\log|T'| + \log|\mathcal{D}h|) = 0. \tag{4}$$

As $\partial h_x(t)$, and so $\mathcal{D}h(x)$, are uniformly bounded for $(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$, it is well-known that a unique solution to such an equation for a partially-hyperbolic skew-products exists and often corresponds to the dimension of graphs [Bed89, MW12].

1.3. **The family of skew products.** Here we list the technical hypotheses on the dynamics. We assume that T is a C^1 expanding Markov map. Specifically, there is a partition $X = \bigcup_{j=0}^{b-1} X_j$, $X_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_b = 1$, such that, for each j, $T|_{X_j} : \operatorname{Int} X_j \to \bigcup_{i \in I_j} X_i$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism. We assume that T is continuous. We assume there exists $\theta < 1$ such that $|T'(x)| \geq \theta^{-1} > 1$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{b-1} \operatorname{Int}(X_j)$. We assume that T is locally eventually onto, namely that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all X_j, $T^N X_j = X$ (equivalently, T^N is full branched for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$). As $T|_{X_j}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, there exists a well-defined inverse branch $\omega_j : \bigcup_{i \in I_j} X_i \to X_j$ and ω_j is a diffeomorphism. Note that $d(\omega_j x, \omega_j y) \leq \theta d(x,y)$. Define a cylinder of rank n by $C_n = C_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}} = \omega_{j_0}\omega_{j_1}\ldots\omega_{j_{n-1}}(X)$. Note that $C_n \subset X$ is an interval.

We now state the hypotheses on the skewing function. Let $P = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\rho-1} P_r$ be a finite set of periodic orbits. If $p \in P_r$ then we write $\ell(p)$ for the least period of p. Recall $h_x(t) = g_x(t)^{-1}$.

Fix a constant $C_{\mathcal{S}} \geq 1$. Suppose the skewing function g is such that

$$g_p^{\ell(p)}(t) = t, \tag{5}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in P$. Suppose that

$$|h_x^n(t) - h_x^n(t')| \leq C_{\mathcal{S}}|t - t'| \tag{6}$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small. Define the collection of open balls (intervals) in X of radius ϵ centred on $p \in P$ by $B_\epsilon(P) = \bigcup_{p \in P} B_\epsilon(p)$ and let $G = X \backslash B_\epsilon(P)$. For an orbit segment $x, \ldots, T^{n-1}x \in B_\epsilon(P)$, denote $\epsilon_j = \inf_{p \in P} d(T^j x, p)$. Suppose we can define a function $\lambda : [0, \epsilon] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where $\log\lambda$ is α-Hölder for some $\alpha > 0$, with $\lambda(\epsilon) > 0$ and $\lambda(0) = 1$ such that

$$|h_x^n(t) - h_x^n(t')| \geq C_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}\lambda(\epsilon_0)\ldots\lambda(\epsilon_{n-1})|t - t'|. \tag{7}$$

Let δ be such that $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$. Suppose that the orbit segment $x, \ldots, T^{n-1}x$ visits $X \backslash B_\delta(P)$ j-many times, let there exist $0 < \lambda_\delta < 1$ such that

$$|h_x^n(t) - h_x^n(t')| \leq C_{\mathcal{S}}\lambda_\delta^j|t - t'|, \tag{8}$$

where λ_δ is independent of x, t and t'. We also assume that both $x \mapsto g_x(t)$ and $x \mapsto h_x(t)$ are α-Hölder continuous,

$$|g_x(t) - g_y(t)| \leq C_g(t)d(x,y)^\alpha \qquad \text{and} \qquad |h_x(t) - h_y(t)| \leq C_h(t)d(x,y)^\alpha, \tag{9}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. If $t \in W$ and $W \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact, then define $C_W = \sup_{t \in W}\{C_g(t), C_h(t)\}$.

As $x \mapsto g_x(t)$ is continuous and X is compact, there exists $K_g > 0$ independent of x such that

$$|g_x^n(t)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{x \in X} |g_x^n(t)| = K_g(t,n). \tag{10}$$

Finally, as g is invertible and continuous, there exists $\lambda_{\min} > 0$ such that

$$|h_x^n(t) - h_x^n(t')| \geq C_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}\lambda_{\min}^n|t - t'|. \tag{11}$$

Let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous, expanding, locally eventually onto Markov map. We denote the set of skew products of the form (1) satisfying conditions (5) to (9) with fixed constant $C_{\mathcal{S}}$ by $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$.

Remark 1.3. Suppose $g_x(t) = f(x) + \gamma^{-1}(x)t$ as in Remark 1.1, where $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell(p)} f(T^i p) = 0$, $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ and $\gamma(p) = 1$ if and only if $p \in P$. The function $\lambda : [0, \epsilon] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ in condition (7) can be defined as $\lambda(\epsilon_x) = |\gamma(x)|$ for $x \in B_\epsilon(P)$ where $\epsilon_x = \inf_{p \in P} d(x,p)$. In this case, for $\delta \leq \epsilon$, λ_δ in condition (8) is chosen as $\sup_{x \notin B_\delta(P)} |\gamma(x)| < 1$; also $\lambda_{\min} = \mathfrak{m}(|\gamma|)$.

For these skew products, the constant $C_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$. Allowing the constant to be larger than 1 allows $|\gamma(x)| > 1$ at some $x \in X$ provided that $|\gamma^n(x)| < C_{\mathcal{S}}$ for all n, hence the Lyapunov exponent is non-positive.

Throughout, we fix the constant $C_{\mathcal{S}}$ as, when we make a small perturbation of the skew product, the constant does not necessarily perturb independent of n. This is important in the proof of Theorem 4.

More generally, for g_x a diffeomorphism with $0 < \lambda_{\min} \leq |\partial h_x(t)| < 1$ for $x \notin P$ and $h_p^{\ell(p)}(t) = t$ if and only if $p \in P$, we define $\lambda(\epsilon_x) = \mathfrak{m}(|\partial h_x|)$ and $\lambda_\delta = \sup_{x \notin B_\delta(P)} \|\partial h_x\|_\infty$.

Remark 1.4. Notice, unlike [Bed89] and [HNW02] we have no partial hyperbolicity condition in general.

Remark 1.5. Suppose that the skewing function is not the identity over the periodic orbits of P but has zero Lyapunov exponent. Say $g_x(t) = f(x) + \gamma(x)^{-1}t$, where $\gamma^\ell(p) = 1$ but $f(p) > 0$ for $p \in P$. In this setting, it is easy to see that the invariant graph is unbounded on pre-images of P. In fact, the two basins (of points repelled to $\pm\infty$) appear intermingled in the sense of [AYYK92, AP11, Kel15].

Remark 1.6. For Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 we do not need T to be locally eventually onto, rather just continuous and expanding.

2. Properties of Markov maps

To prove Theorem 1, we will need the following technical lemma. The content of the lemma is surely well-known, but we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous expanding Markov map of the circle. Let p be a periodic point and let P denote the periodic orbit of p. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all δ where $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$ if the orbit sequence $\{x, Tx, \ldots, T^n x\} \subset B_\delta(P)$ with $x \in B_\delta(p)$, then $d(T^j x, T^j p) < \delta\theta^{n-j}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. If $x = p$, then, as P is T-invariant, the result is trivial.

We first prove that if $x \in B_\delta(p)$ and $Tx \in B_\delta(P)$, then $Tx \in B_\delta(Tp)$. Let t_j be the lower end-point of the interval X_j. Let $Q = P \cup \{t_i\}_{i=0}^{b-1}$. Let $d = \inf_{q_i \neq q_j \in Q} d(q_i, q_j)$. Choose $\epsilon < d/\|T'\|_\infty 4$ and let $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$. As $\|T\|_\infty > 1$, $\delta < d/4$ and so for $p \in P$ the balls $B_\delta(p)$ are pairwise disjoint.

For $x \neq p$, x is located in precisely one of $(p-\delta, p)$ or $(p, p+\delta)$ and on these sets T is differentiable (note, p could be equal to t_j for some j, hence we split the interval $B_\delta(p)$ at p). By the Mean Value Theorem, $d(Tx, Tp) \leq \|T'\|_\infty d(x, p) < d/4$. Hence the set $T(B_\delta(p))$ has diameter at most $d/2$ and

$$T(B_\delta(p)) \subset B_{d/2}(Tp). \tag{12}$$

By assumption, $Tx \in B_\delta(P)$. We show that $Tx \in B_\delta(Tp)$. Suppose not, say $Tx \in B_\delta(p')$ with $p' \in P$, $p' \neq Tp$. Then $Tx \in B_\delta(p') \cap T(B_\delta(p))$. By (12), $Tx \in B_{d/2}(p') \cap B_{d/2}(Tp)$, contradicting that the points of P are at least distance d apart. So $Tx \in B_\delta(Tp)$.

Suppose that $p \in \mathrm{Int}(X_j)$ for some j; therefore $\omega_j(Tp) = p$. By choice of δ, $T : B_\delta(x) \to X$ is a diffeomorphism on $B_\delta(x) \subset X_j$. Therefore, the inverse branch $\omega_j : T(B_\delta(x)) \to X_j$ is a diffeomorphism on $T(B_\delta(x))$. As T is expanding, $T(B_\delta(p)) \supset B_\delta(Tp)$. By the Mean Value Theorem, for $x \in B_\delta(p)$,

$$\theta \geq \frac{d(\omega_j(Tx), \omega_j(Tp))}{d(Tx, Tp)} = \frac{d(x, p)}{d(Tx, Tp)}. \tag{13}$$

As $Tx \in B_\delta(Tp)$, we have $d(Tx, Tp) < \delta$ and so $d(x, p) < \theta\delta$.

Finally, consider the case where p is the unique point of intersection $p \in X_{j-1} \cap X_j$. Then $\omega_j(Tp) = p = \omega_{j-1}(Tp)$. Either $x \in (p-\epsilon, p) \subset X_{j-1}$ or $x \in (p, p+\epsilon) \subset X_j$. In either case, by applying the appropriate inverse branch, the result follows by the idea of (13) above, replacing the ball $B_\delta(p)$ with intervals $(p, p+\epsilon)$ or $(p-\epsilon, p)$. By iterating this argument, if $T^j x \in B_\delta(P)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, then $d(T^j x, T^j p) < \delta\theta^{n-j}$, as required. □

The following corollary is also well-known: the only orbit that δ-shadows a periodic orbit (for δ sufficiently small) is the periodic orbit itself.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\delta > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $T^n x \in B_\delta(P)$. Then $x \in P$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \notin P$. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that, for all $p \in P$, $d(x, p) > \eta$. As $T^n x \in B_\delta(P)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the orbit segment $\{x, \ldots, T^m x\} \subset B_\delta(P)$. By Lemma 2.1, for some $p \in P$, we have $d(x, p) < \delta\theta^m$. Choose m large such that $\delta\theta^m < \eta$, contradicting our assumption that $x \notin P$. □

In order to prove the existence of an invariant graph, we show that $h_x^n(t) \to u(x)$. In our setting, when x is very close to P, the rate of contraction of $h_x(t)$ is not uniformly bounded below 1. Thus, supposing that the orbit segment $Tx, \dots, T^{n-1}x$ remains in $B_\delta(P)$, the next result uses Lemma 2.1 to bound $h_x^n(t)$ independently of x and n.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous expanding Markov Map of the interval. Let $0 < \delta \le \epsilon < 1$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $g \in C_P^\alpha$. Let $n \ge 2$. If the orbit segment $\{Tx, \dots, T^{n-1}x\}$ lies in $B_\delta(P)$, then $|h_x^n(t) - t| \le A_h(t)$, where $A_h(t) > 0$ is independent of n and x, but not t.*

Proof. Let ℓ be the least period of $p \in P$. Let $Tx \in B_\delta(Tp)$. Let n_ℓ be the smallest integer $n_\ell \ge n$ divisible by ℓ, so $h_p^{n_\ell}(t) = (t)$ and $n_\ell - n \le \ell - 1$

As $\{Tx, \dots, T^{n-1}x\} \subset B_\delta(P)$, by Lemma 2.1, for $0 \le i \le n-2$, $d(T^i(Tx), T^i(Tp)) \le \theta^{n-2-i}\delta$. Let $T^{n_\ell - n}q = p$. As $h_q^{n_\ell}$ is the identity,

$$|h_x^n(t) - t| = |h_x^n(t) - h_q^{n_\ell}(t)| \le |h_x^n(t) - h_p^n(t)| + |h_p^n(t) - h_p^n(h_q^{n_\ell - n}(t))|. \tag{14}$$

We bound the first term of (14). By (6) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
|h_x^n(t) - h_p^n(t)| &\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |h_x^i h_{T^i x} h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t) - h_x^i h_{T^i p} h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t)| \\
&\le C_{\mathcal{S}} C_g(h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t)) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(T^i x, T^i p)^\alpha \\
&\le C_{\mathcal{S}} C_g(h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t)) \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \theta^{\alpha(n-2-i)}\delta\right) \le C(\ell, t).
\end{aligned}$$

as $h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t) \in [-K_g(\ell,t), K_g(\ell,t)] =: W$, the Hölder constant $C_g(h_{T^{i+1}p}^{n-1-i}(t)) < C_W$. We bound the second term of (14) by

$$|h_p^n(t) - h_p^n(h_q^{n_\ell - n}(t))| \le C_{\mathcal{S}}|t - h_q^{n_\ell - n}(t)| \le C_{\mathcal{S}}(|t| + K_g(t, \ell))$$

as $n_\ell - n \le \ell$. Hence, we have the bound as required. □

3. Existence of the invariant graph

We consider the existence and uniqueness of the invariant graph and prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let δ be sufficiently small so that Lemma 2.1 holds. Define $u_n : X \setminus R_P \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $u_n(x,t) = h_x^n(t)$. By Corollary 2.2, the set of points with orbit that visits $X \setminus B_\epsilon(P)$ infinitely often are precisely $X \setminus R_P$. Denote the set $X \setminus B_\delta(P) = G$.

Suppose that the orbit segment $T^{n_{i-1}+1}x, \dots, T^{n_i - 1}x \in B_\delta(P)$ and $T^{n_i}x \in G$. If $x \notin G$, we let $n_{-1} = 0$ and n_0 is the first visit to G, and if $x \in G$ then $n_0 = 0$. In both cases n_1 is the first return to G. Define

$$H_{T^{n_{i-1}}x} = h_{T^{n_{i-1}}x}^{n_i - n_{i-1}}.$$

Let j be the number of times the orbit segment $x, \dots, T^n x$ visits G. We have

$$h_x^n(t) = H_x^j(t) = H_x H_{T^{n_1}x} \dots H_{T^{n_{j-2}}x} H_{T^{n_{j-1}}x}(t).$$

By Lemma 2.3, $|H_{T^{n_{i-1}}x}(t) - t| \le A_h(t)$, where A_h is independent of n, i and x but depends on t. Fix $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n_j \le n < m \le n_k$ where $T^{n_j}x$ is the largest $n_j \le n$ such that $T^{n_j}x \in G$ and n_k is the smallest $n_k \ge m$ such that $T^{n_k}x \in G$. Fixing $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we bound

$$|h_x^n(t) - h_x^m(t)| = |H_x^j(h_{T^{n_j}x}^{n-n_j}(t)) - H_x^j(h_{T^{n_j}x}^{m-n_j}(t))| \le \lambda_\delta^j |h_{T^{n_j}x}^{n-n_j}(t) - h_{T^{n_j}x}^{m-n_j}(t)|.$$

As $n, m \to \infty$, we have $\lambda_\delta^j \to 0$. By repeatedly applying Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
|h_{T^{n_j}x}^{n-n_j}(t) - h_{T^{n_j}x}^{m-n_j}(t)| &= |h_{T^{n_j}x}^{n-n_j}(t) - H_{T^{n_j}x}^{k-1-j}(h_{T^{n_{k-1}}x}^{m-n_{k-1}}(t))| \\
&\le |h_{T^{n_j}x}^{n-n_j}(t) - t| + |t - H_{T^{n_j}x}(t)| + |H_{T^{n_j}x}(t) - H_{T^{n_j}x}^2(t)| + \\
&\qquad \dots + |H_{T^{n_j}x}^{k-j-1}(t) - H_{T^{n_j}x}^{k-j-1}(h_{T^{n_{k-1}}x}^{m-n_{k-1}}(t))|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq A_h(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} \lambda_\delta^i A_h(t) \leq C(t) \tag{15}$$

for some $C(t) > 0$. Therefore, for each x, t, the sequence $h_x^n(t)$ is Cauchy. By (8), it follows that the limit is independent of t. We can define a uniformly bounded (on $X \setminus R_P$) function u by $u(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x,t) = \lim_{j\to\infty} H_x^j(t)$. Then, u is an invariant graph on $X \setminus R_P$ as $u(Tx) = \lim_{n\to\infty} h_{Tx}^n(t) = g_x\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} h_x^n(t)\right) = g_x(u(x))$. To prove uniqueness, suppose v is another invariant graph and suppose that v is uniformly bounded on $X \setminus R_P$. For $x \in X \setminus R_P$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $v(x) = h_x^n(v(T^n x))$. So,

$$h_x^n(-\|v\|_\infty) \leq v(x) \leq h_x^n(\|v\|_\infty).$$

As $h_x^n(t)$ converges to $u(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ and the limit is independent of t, $v(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in X \setminus R_P$.

Now, suppose that v is only measurable. Let $B > 0$, Suppose $W = \{x \mid |u(x)| < B\}$. Let μ be an ergodic measure for T that is not supported on R_P. For B sufficiently large, $\mu(W) > 0$. By the ergodicity of T, for μ-a.e. x there exists subsequence n_m such that $T^{n_m}x \in W$. Hence,

$$h_x^{n_m}(-B) \leq u(x) \leq h_x^{n_m}(B).$$

As $m \to \infty$, we have $h_x^{n_m}(t) \to u(x)$ independently of t. Hence $v = u$ μ-a.e. □

While the bound on u is uniform in x, the rate of convergence is not uniform; this lack of uniform convergence gives the invariant graph its interesting non-continuous structure.

3.1. **Proof of Corollary 2.** We now consider invariant graphs when the dynamics is restricted to the set R_P and prove Corollary 2.

Proof of Corollary 2. Let P_r be a periodic orbit in P and, for each r, choose $p_r \in P_r$. Recall $\ell(p_r)$ denotes the least period of p_r. As u is assumed to be $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant on R_P, we have $g_{p_r}(u(p_r)) = u(Tp_r)$. Iterating this we have $g_{p_r}^\ell(u(p_r)) = u(T^{\ell(p_r)}p_r) = u(p_r)$. As $g_p^{\ell(p_r)}$ is the identity, any value of $u(p_r)$ satisfies this equation.

Choose (arbitrarily) $s = (s_0, \ldots, s_{\rho-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$. For our choice of p_r, define $u_s(p_r) = s_r$. As $u_s(Tx) = g_x(u(x))$, this then defines u_s on P. Note that $u_s(\omega_j p)) = h_{\omega_j p} u_s(p)$; we can iterate this to define u_s on R_P.

Define $u_s = u$ on $X \setminus R_P$. It remains to show that u_s has a bound depending only on s and $u : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$. We only need to consider points in R_P as $u_s(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in X \setminus R_P$. Let $x \in R_P$, so for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $T^N x \in P$, say $T^N x = p$. We have $g_x^N(u_s(x)) = u_s(p)$. As $u_s(p) = s_r$ it suffices to bound $h_x^N(s_r)$ for $s_r \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in P$, then x is a periodic point and, by (10), $|g_p^N(s_r)| \leq K_g(N, s_r) \leq K_g(\ell, s_r)$. Otherwise, let J be the total number of visits of the orbit of x to G, denoting each visit $T^{N_i}x \in G$ for $0 \leq i < J-1$ and $N = N_J$ so that $T^{N_J}x = p$. Again, if $x \notin G$, let $N_{-1} = 0$ so that N_0 is the first visit to G.

Using the notation of the proof of Theorem 1, we write $h_x^N(s_r) = H_x^{J-1} h_{T^{N_{J-1}}x}^{N-N_{J-1}}(s_r)$. By the same arguments as (15), we can bound $|H_x^{J-1}(h_{T^{N_{J-1}}x}^{N-N_{J-1}}(s_r)) - s_r| < C(s_r)$ for some $C(s_r) > 0$. Therefore $h_x^N(s_r)$ is bounded independently of N. □

3.2. **Reducing to fixed points.** Having shown that the invariant sets described in Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 exist for $\tilde{T}_g$, it will be useful in what follows to replace T by a power and assume that P consists of fixed points such that $g_p(t) = t$ for all $p \in P, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we can also assume that T is full branched. The following allows us to do this.

Proposition 3.1. *Let P be a set of periodic orbits of X. Let the skew product $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$ and let N be the least integer such that $T^N X_j = X$ for all $0 \leq j \leq b-1$. Let $\ell = k\ell_p$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and ℓ_p is the lowest common multiple of the periods of orbits in P and N. Let R_P be the set of pre-images of P under $\tilde{T}_g$ and R_P^ℓ be the set of pre-images of P under $\tilde{T}_g^\ell$. Then $R_P = R_P^\ell$, the skew product $\tilde{T}_g^\ell|_{X\setminus R_P^\ell}$ has unique, uniformly bounded invariant graph $u : X \setminus R_P^\ell \to \mathbb{R}$ and the graph is equal to to the unique uniformly bounded invariant graph of the skew product $\tilde{T}_g|_{X\setminus R_P}$.*

Proof. By our choice of ℓ, the set P consists of points that are fixed under $T^\ell : X \to X$. Let $x \in R_P$. So, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ we have $T^n x \in P$. Choose $M > n_0$ the smallest integer such that $M = \ell K$ for $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k \geq K$. Then $T^{\ell k}x \in P$, so $x \in R_P^\ell$. For the other direction, if $x \in R_P^\ell$ then there

exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $k \geq K$, $T^{\ell k}x \in P$. As P is T invariant, $T^n x \in P$ for all $n \geq \ell K$, therefore $x \in R_P$. Thus, $R_P = R_P^\ell$.

Let $g_x^\ell = \gamma_x$. Then, $\tilde{T}_g^\ell(x,t) = (T^\ell x, \gamma_x(t))$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^\alpha)$, hence satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and so there exists a unique, bounded invariant graph of $\tilde{T}_\gamma$ say $u' : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $u : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique invariant graph of $\tilde{T}_g|_{X \setminus R_P}$. Clearly, u is also an invariant graph of $\tilde{T}_\gamma|_{X \setminus R_P^\ell}$. As $R_P = R_P^\ell$ and the invariant graph is unique, $u' = u$. □

From now on we will assume without loss of generality that the skew product is such that T is full branched and P consists only of fixed points.

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.1 also allows us to assume g is orientation preserving. As each $g_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is invertible, g_x^2 is orientation preserving and by Proposition 3.1 the skew product $\tilde{T}_{g^2}$ has the same invariant graph as $\tilde{T}_g$. (Note that we cannot assume that T is orientation preserving: consider the tent map.)

4. The invariant quasi-graph

4.1. **Structure of the invariant quasi-graph.** Recall Definition 1.2 of the quasi-graph U. As the invariant graph u is unique on $X \setminus R_P$, the quasi-graph exists and is unique.

Proposition 4.1. *The quasi-graph U is $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant.*

Proof. By definition $U|_{X \setminus R_P} = \mathrm{graph}(u(x))$ and we know that the graph of $u : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\tilde{T}_g|_{X \setminus R_P}$-invariant, thus we only need to show that $\tilde{T}_g U_x = U_{Tx}$ for all $x \in R_P$. Let $x \in R_P$. By Remark 3.2, we can assume each g_x is orientation preserving. As g_x is continuous and $X \setminus R_P$ is T-invariant,

$$\tilde{T}_g \left(x, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y) \right) = \left(Tx, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} g_y(u(y)) \right). \tag{16}$$

For all $y \in X \setminus R_P$, we have $g_y(u(y)) = u(Ty)$, so

$$\tilde{T}_g \left(x, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y) \right) = \left(Tx, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(Ty) \right).$$

As $X \setminus R_P$ is T-invariant and T is continuous, as $y \to x$ we have $Ty \to Tx$ through a sequence in $X \setminus R_P$. So, $\limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(Ty) = \limsup_{Ty \in X \setminus R_P, Ty \to Tx} u(Ty)$. By changing notation $Ty \mapsto y$, we have

$$\tilde{T}_g \left(x, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y) \right) = \left(Tx, \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to Tx} u(y) \right).$$

An identical argument holds for the $\liminf_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y)$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, for any $(x,t) \in U_x$ we have $\tilde{T}_g(x,t) \in U_{Tx}$. Therefore, $\tilde{T}_g(U_x) = U_{Tx}$. Taking the union of all of these, as R_P is T-invariant, $\tilde{T}_g(U_{R_P}) = U_{R_P}$. We already know $\tilde{T}_g(U_{X \setminus R_P}) = U_{X \setminus R_P}$, hence we have $\tilde{T}_g(U) = U$. □

We now prove that if the quasi-graph is discontinuous at any point $p \in P$, then it is discontinuous on the dense set of pre-images of the fixed point p.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R_p denote the pre-images of a point $p \in P$. The length $|U_x| = 0$ for some $x \in R_p$ if and only if $|U_x| = 0$ for every $x \in R_p$.*

Proof. Let $x \in R_p$ and $|U_x| = 0$. We can define $u(x) = \lim_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to x} u(y)$. Thus, we have extended u to the point $x \in R_p$ and u is continuous at x. As g_x is a continuous function and $g_x(u(x)) = u(Tx)$, so u is continuous at Tx. Iterating, as $T^N x = p$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that u is continuous at p.

Now, let $z \in R_p$. There exists N such that $T^N z = p$. By the invariance of u, $h_z^N(u(p)) = u(z)$. As, h_z^N is continuous, u is continuous at z. So $|U_z| = 0$. The proof of the other direction is trivial. □

5. Proof of Theorems 3 and 4

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.**

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that there exists $x \in R_P$ such that $|U_x| = 0$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, $|U_p| = 0$ for some $p \in P$. We show that we can extend $u : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ to an α-Hölder function $u_s : X \to \mathbb{R}$, for some choice of $s \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$, by iterating backwards towards the fixed point p. As U is the graph of u_s the dichotomy is proved.

Suppose $p \in X_j$. As p is fixed under T and T is full branched, there exists an inverse branch $\omega : X \to X_j$ such that $\omega(p) = p$. As ω is contracting, for any $x \in X$, $\omega^n x \to p$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $u(x) = g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n x))$. Let $x, y \in X \setminus R_P$. Let m be such that $\theta^m < \epsilon$. As $d(\omega x, \omega y) \le \theta d(x, y)$, we have that $\omega^{m+i} x \in B_\epsilon(P)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$d(\omega^{m+i} x, P) \le \theta^i \epsilon. \tag{17}$$

Using (6), (7) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} |t - t'| &= |h^n_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)) - h^n_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t'))| \\ &= |h^m_x h^{n-m}_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)) - h^m_x h^{n-m}_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t'))| \\ &\ge C_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \lambda^m_{\min} |h^{n-m}_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)) - h^{n-m}_{\omega^n x}(g^n_{\omega^n x}(t'))| \\ &\ge C_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \lambda^m_{\min} \lambda(\epsilon) \dots \lambda(\theta^{n-m}\epsilon) |g^n_{\omega^n x}(t) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(t')|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\log \lambda$ is C^α as a function of ϵ and $\log \lambda(0) = 0$, using (17),

$$\left| - \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} \log \lambda(\theta^i \epsilon) \right| \le \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} |\log \lambda(0) - \log \lambda(\theta^i \epsilon)| \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{\log \lambda} |\theta^{\alpha i} \epsilon| =: \log \Lambda.$$

Exponentiating, $(\lambda(\epsilon) \dots \lambda(\theta^{n-m}\epsilon))^{-1} \le \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda > 0$ independent of n. As m is fixed by ϵ and T, the $\lambda^m_{\min}$ term is absorbed into the constant. So, there exists $C_0 > 0$ independent of x, n and t such that

$$|g^n_{\omega^n x}(t) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(t')| \le C_0 \Lambda^{-1} |t - t'|. \tag{18}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(y)| &= |g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n x)) - g^n_{\omega^n y}(u(\omega^n y))| \\ &\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |g^i_{\omega^i x} g_{w_r^{i+1} x} g^{n-1-i}_{\omega^n y}(u(\omega^n y)) - g^i_{\omega^i x} g_{w_r^{i+1} y} g^{n-1-i}_{\omega^n y}(u(\omega^n y))| \\ &\qquad + |g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n x)) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n y))|. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using (18), we know that

$$|g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n x)) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(u(\omega^n y))| \le C_0 \Lambda^{-1} |u(\omega^n x) - u(\omega^n y)|.$$

As $\omega^n x, \omega^n y \to p$ and we assume that u is continuous at p, this term tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Letting $s = g_{w_r^{i+1} x}(t)$ and $s' = g_{w_r^{i+1} y}(t)$ where $t = g^{n-1-i}_{\omega^n y}(u(\omega^n y))$, we have

$$|g^i_{\omega^i x}(s) - g^i_{\omega^i x}(s')| \le C_0 \Lambda^{-1} |s - s'|.$$

and

$$|s - s'| = |g_{w_r^{i+1} x}(t) - g_{w_r^{i+1} y}(t)| \le C_g(t) d(w_r^{i+1} x, w_r^{i+1} y)^\alpha \le C_g(t) \theta^{\alpha(i+1)} d(x, y)^\alpha.$$

As u is uniformly bounded and $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant, we have $t = u(\omega^{n-1-i} y)$ and so $|t| \le \|u\|_\infty$. Hence t is contained in a compact set $W \subset \mathbb{R}$. Thus $C_g(t) \le C_W$. As $\theta < 1$, we can find a constant $C_u > 0$ such that (19) is bounded by

$$|u(x) - u(y)| < C_u d(x, y)^\alpha.$$

Therefore, u is a uniformly α-Hölder continuous function on $X \setminus R_P$. As $X \setminus R_P$ is dense in X, we can uniquely extend u to a uniformly α-Hölder continuous function $u_s : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$. As g, T and u_s are continuous and u is an invariant graph on $X \setminus R_P$, u_s must be an invariant graph on X. Therefore, the quasi-graph $U = \text{graph}(u)$ is an α-Hölder continuous invariant graph of the skew product. □

Remark 5.1. By (18), we have

$$|g^{n+1}_{\omega^{n+1}x}(t) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)| = |g^n_{\omega^n x}(g_{\omega^{n+1}x}(t)) - g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)| \leq C_0\Lambda^{-1}|g_{\omega^{n+1}x}(t) - t|.$$

Furthermore, for n sufficiently large, by (17), $d(\omega^{n+1}x, p) \leq C\theta^{n-m}\epsilon$ for fixed m independent of x. So,

$$|g_{\omega^{n+1}x}(t) - t| = |g_{\omega^{n+1}x}(t) - g_p(t)| \leq C_g(t)\lambda(\theta^n\epsilon) \leq C_g(t)C\theta^{\alpha(n-m)}\epsilon^\alpha.$$

As $\theta < 1$, the sequence $g^n_{\omega^n x}(t)$ is Cauchy (in n) and converges pointwise with $g^n_{\omega^n x}(t) \to D_x(t)$ for some function $D_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. By (18) we have D_x is Lipschitz continuous as a function of t.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.** We prove this result in two parts, first the density of such skew products and second the openness.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$. There exists a C^0-dense set of $g \in C^\alpha_P$ such that U is not the graph of a function.*

Proof. By Theorem 3, it suffices to assume that there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$ such that the invariant graph u_s of $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$ is continuous at $p \in P$ and find a small perturbation of $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ within C^α_P such that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$, the invariant graph $\hat{u}_s$ of $\tilde{T}_{\hat{g}}$ is not continuous at p. Equivalently, the quasi-graph of $\hat{u}$ is not the graph of a function. To do this, we assume that both u_s and $\hat{u}_s$ are continuous for some $s, \hat{s} \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$ and obtain a contradiction. As $u_s, \hat{u}_s$ are the unique, continuous extensions of $u, \hat{u} : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote the invariant graphs $u_s = u$, $\hat{u}_s = \hat{u}$

Let $q \notin R_P$ be a periodic point. By Proposition 3.1, we can assume that T is full branched with at least two inverse branches. Therefore, there exist disjoint sequences of pre-images of q, say $\omega^n q \to p$ and $\nu^n q \to p$. As the orbit of $q \notin P \subset R_P$, for $n > N$ sufficiently large $\omega^n q$ is sufficiently close to p that $\omega^n q$ cannot be in the orbit of q. Let V be a small neighbourhood of $\omega^j q$ for some $j > N$, disjoint from: $\nu^n q$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega^n q$ for $n \neq j$, the orbit of q, and the set P. By making a C^0 perturbation $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ on V with $\hat{g} \in C^\alpha_P$, we can ensure that

$$|\hat{g}^j_q(u(p)) - g^j_q(u(p))| \geq \epsilon_1 > 0$$

for some $\epsilon_1 > 0$. We have not perturbed g at $\omega^n q$ for $n \neq j$, so $g_{\omega^n q} = \hat{g}_{\omega^n q}$. By inverting condition (6), for all $n > j$

$$|\hat{g}^n_q(u(p)) - g^n_q(u(p))| = |g^{n-j}_{T^j q}\hat{g}^j_q(u(p)) - g^{n-j}_{T^j q}g^j_q(u(p))| \geq C^{-1}_{\mathcal{S}}\epsilon_1.$$

By Remark 5.1, as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$|D_q(u(p)) - \hat{D}_q(u(p))| \geq C^{-1}_{\mathcal{S}}\epsilon_1. \tag{20}$$

As V is disjoint from the orbit of q, we have $h_{T^i q} = \hat{h}_{T^i q}$ for all $i \geq 0$. As $q \notin R_P$, by Theorem 1, $u(q) = \hat{u}(q)$.

As V is, also, disjoint from $\nu^i q$ for all $i \geq 0$, we also have $g_{\nu^i q} = \hat{g}_{\nu^i q}$ for all $i \geq 0$. So, $g^n_{\nu^n q}(u(\nu^n q)) = u(q)$ and $\hat{g}^n_{\nu^n q}(\hat{u}(\nu^n q)) = g^n_{\nu^n q}(\hat{u}(\nu^n q)) = \hat{u}(q)$. Thus, $u(\nu^n q) = \hat{u}(\nu^n q)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, as $u, \hat{u}$ are continuous,

$$\hat{u}(p) = u(p). \tag{21}$$

As both $g^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) = u(q)$ and $\hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(\hat{u}(\omega^n q)) = \hat{u}(q)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = u(q) - \hat{u}(q) &= g^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) - \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(\hat{u}(\omega^n q)) \\ &= g^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) - \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) + \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) - \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(\hat{u}(\omega^n q)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As u and $\hat{u}$ are continuous, by assumption, and D_q is Lipschitz continuous, we have $g^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) \to D_q(u(p))$ and similarly for $\hat{D}_q(u(p))$. By (20), for all $n > N$ with N sufficiently large, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$|g^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) - \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q))| > \delta_0. \tag{23}$$

Substituting (23) into (22),

$$|\hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(u(\omega^n q)) - \hat{g}^n_{\omega^n q}(\hat{u}(\omega^n q))| > \delta_0,$$

for all $n > N$. Therefore, $\hat{D}_q(u(p)) \neq \hat{D}_q(\hat{u}(p))$, hence $u(p) \neq \hat{u}(p)$, contradicting (21). As the perturbation $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ is arbitrarily small in the uniform norm, we have a C^0-dense set of $g \in C^\alpha_P$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the invariant graph u_s is not continuous. □

We now show that if U is not the graph of an invariant function u, then for any small C^α perturbation of $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ within C^α_P, the quasi-graph $\hat{U}$ is, also, not the graph of an invariant function $\hat{u}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^\alpha_P)$ be such that the invariant quasi-graph is not the graph of a function. There is a C^α-open set of perturbations $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ such that the invariant quasi graph $\hat{U}$ of $\tilde{T}_{\hat{g}}$ is not the graph of a function.*

Proof. Suppose that, for a given $g \in C^\alpha_P$, U is not the graph of a function. Let $p \in P$. By Theorem 3, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $|U_p| \geq \gamma$. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\delta_\kappa > 0$ be a sequence such that $\delta_\kappa \to 0$ as $\kappa \to \infty$. Let $0 < \gamma_0 < \gamma$. Let $B_{\delta_\kappa}(p)$ be the open ball of radius δ_κ about p. As U is not the graph of a continuous function, for any κ there exists $x_\kappa, y_\kappa \in B_{\delta_\kappa}(p) \setminus R_P$ such that $|u(x_\kappa) - u(y_\kappa)| \geq \gamma_0$.

We prove that for a sufficiently small perturbation, there exists $\hat{\gamma} > 0$ such that $|\hat{U}_p| \geq \hat{\gamma} > 0$. We first claim that, for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$ there is a C^α-open set of perturbations of $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ within C^α_P, made precise in (28), such that, for all $x \in X \setminus R_P$,

$$|u(x) - \hat{u}(x)| < \epsilon_0, \tag{24}$$

where $\hat{u} : X \setminus R_P \to \mathbb{R}$ is the invariant graph of the perturbed skew product. The claim proves the lemma as, for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \gamma_0 \leq |u(x_\kappa) - u(y_\kappa)| &\leq |u(x_\kappa) - \hat{u}(x_\kappa)| + |u(y_\kappa) - \hat{u}(y_\kappa)| + |\hat{u}(x_\kappa) - \hat{u}(y_\kappa)| \\ &\leq |\hat{u}(x_\kappa) - \hat{u}(y_\kappa)| + 2\epsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

by the claim. As ϵ_0 is arbitrarily small and γ_0 can be chosen to be close to γ, we can ensure that $0 < \gamma_0 - 2\epsilon_0 = \hat{\gamma}$. Hence, there exists sequence x_κ, y_κ such that $|\hat{u}(x_\kappa) - \hat{u}(y_\kappa)| \geq \hat{\gamma} > 0$. As $\kappa \to \infty$, $x_\kappa, y_\kappa \to p$. Therefore, $\lim_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to p} \hat{u}(y)$ does not exist, so $|\hat{U}_p| > 0$. Hence, $\hat{U}$ is not the graph of a function by Theorem 3.

It remains to prove the claim (24). Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small that Lemma 2.1 holds. Again, let $G = X \setminus B_\delta(P)$.

Using the notation of Theorem 1, let j be the number of visits of the orbit segment $x, \dots, T^n x$ to G, denoting the j^{th} visit by $T^{n_j} x$. As before, if $x \notin G$, let $n_{-1} = 0$ so that n_0 is the first visit of the orbit of x to G. Write $H_{T^{n_{j-1}} x} = h^{n_j - n_{j-1}}_{T^{n_{j-1}} x}$. By Theorem 1 and passing to the subsequence n_j, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\hat{u}(x) - u(x)| = \left| \lim_{j \to \infty} \hat{H}^j_x(t) - \lim_{j \to \infty} H^j_x(t) \right| = \lim_{j \to \infty} |\hat{H}^j_x(t) - H^j_x(t)|.$$

As the limits are independent of t, we choose $|t| \leq \|u\|_\infty$. By (8),

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{H}^j_x(t) - H^j_x(t)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} |\hat{H}^i_x \hat{H}_{T^{n_i} x} H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}} x}(t) - \hat{H}^i_x H_{T^{n_i} x} H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}} x}(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda^i_\delta |\hat{H}_{T^{n_i} x} H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}} x}(t) - H_{T^{n_i} x} H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}} x}(t)| \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

For notation, fix $s_{i,j} = H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}} x}(t)$, $y = T^{n_i} x$ and $m = n_{i+1} - n_i$. Then bound

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{H}_y(s_{i,j}) - H_y(s_{i,j})| &= |\hat{h}^m_y(s_{i,j}) - h^m_y(s_{i,j})| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\hat{h}^k_y \hat{h}_{T^k y} h^{m-1-k}_{T^{k+1} y}(s_{i,j}) - \hat{h}^k_y h_{T^k y} h^{m-1-k}_{T^{k+1} y}(s_{i,j})| \\ &\leq C_{\mathcal{S}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\hat{h}_{T^k y} h^{m-1-k}_{T^{k+1} y}(s_{i,j}) - h_{T^k y} h^{m-1-k}_{T^{k+1} y}(s_{i,j})|. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

As $T^k y \in B_\epsilon(P)$ for all k such that $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, by Lemma 2.3, letting $r_k(s_{i,j}) = h^{m-1-k}_{T^{k+1} y}(s_{i,j})$ we see that $|r_k - s_{i,j}|$ is bounded independently of k and m. To show that $s_{i,j}$ is bounded independently of i and j we consider $z = T^{n_{i+1}} x$, $M = j - i$. By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H^M_z(t) - t| &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} |H^\ell_z H_{T^{n_i} z} H^{M-\ell-1}_{T^{n_{\ell+1}} p}(t) - H^\ell_z H_{T^{n_\ell} p} H^{M-\ell-1}_{T^{n_{\ell+1}} p}(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} \lambda^\ell_\delta |H_{T^{n_i} z}(t) - t| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} \lambda^\ell_\delta A_h(t) \leq C_0(t), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

recalling that H_p is the identity as p is a fixed point. Therefore, we have $|s_{i,j}-t| = |H^{j-i}_{T^{n_{i+1}}x}(t) - t| \leq C_0(t)$ bounded independently of i, j and n and so $|s_{i,j}| \leq C_0(t) + |t|$. By choice of t, $|t| \leq \|u\|_\infty$. Hence we can find a compact set $W \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing each $s_{i,j}$, $r_k(s_{i,j})$ and t which depends only on the skew product.

For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the maps $x \mapsto h_x(t)$, $x \mapsto \hat{h}_x(t)$ are α-Hölder and both g_p and $\hat{g}_p$ are the identity map. Hence,

$$|\hat{h}_x(t) - h_x(t)| = |\hat{h}_x(t) - h_x(t) + \hat{h}_p(t) - h_p(t)| \leq C_{(h-\hat{h})}(t) d(x,p)^\alpha. \tag{28}$$

For any $t \in W$ where W is compact and fixed, if the perturbation is sufficiently small in the C^α topology, we can ensure that $C_{(h-\hat{h})}(W) = \sup_{t\in W}\left(C_{(h-\hat{h})}(t)\right)$ is small. As $r_k(s_{i,j}) \in W$,

$$|\hat{h}_{T^k y}(r_k) - h_{T^k y}(r_k)| \leq C_{(h-\hat{h})}(W) d(T^k y, p)^\alpha.$$

As $T^k y \in B_\epsilon(P)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, by Lemma 2.1, $d(T^k y, p) \leq \epsilon\theta^{m-k}$. So we can bound

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\hat{h}_{T^k y}(r_k) - h_{T^k y}(r_k)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{(h-\hat{h})}(W)\epsilon\theta^{\alpha(m-k)} \leq C_1 C_{(h-\hat{h})}(W). \tag{29}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. Substituting (29) into (26) and then (25), we have

$$|\hat{u}(x) - u(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda_\delta^i C_2 C_{(h-\hat{h})}(W).$$

for $C_2 > 0$. As $\lambda_\delta < 1$, for a sufficiently small C^α perturbation of g within C^α_P, we can ensure that $|\hat{u}(x) - u(x)| < \epsilon_0$, thus completing the proof of the claim. □

Proof of Theorem 4. By combining Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.2, we have a C^α-open and C^0-dense set of $g \in C^\alpha_P$ such that the invariant quasi-graph U of $\tilde{T}_g$ is not the graph of a function. □

6. Proof of Theorem 5

A special case of a piecewise C^1 Markov map of the circle X is an orientation preserving $C^{1+\alpha}$ circle endomorphism for $\alpha > 0$. Notice that each inverse branch is full and choose the end-points of each X_j in the Markov partition to be given by t_j such that $T(t_j) = 0$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Denote the set of skew products with $T \in C^{r+\alpha}$ and $g \in C^{r+\alpha}_P$ by $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}_{C^{r+\alpha}}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{r+\alpha}_P)$. Throughout this section we will refer to the base X as the 'horizontal' and the fibre $\mathbb{R}$ as the 'vertical' directions, respectively. To prove Theorem 5, we use stable manifold theory (cf. [HPS77, FHY81]).

6.1. **The local stable manifold.** Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}_{C^{r+\alpha}}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{r+\alpha}_P)$. Let $(p, u(p))$ with $p \in P$ a fixed point of $\tilde{T}_g$. Then, there exists an inverse branch $\tilde{\omega}(x,s) = (\omega x, h_{\omega x}(s))$ also fixing $(p, u(p))$ with derivative

$$D\tilde{\omega}(p, u(p)) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega'(p) & 0 \\ \partial(h_{\omega p}(u(p)))\omega'(p) & \partial(h_{\omega p}(u(p))) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega'(p) & 0 \\ \omega'(p) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E be the tangent space of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ at $(p, u(p))$ and let E_1, E_2 be the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\omega'(p)$ and 1 respectively. We can think of E_2 as consisting of vertical vectors.

Let γ_1, γ_2 be the linear maps in the direction of the eigenvalues $\omega'(p)$ and 1 of the derivative of $\tilde{\omega}$ at the fixed point $(p, u(p))$. Let $\gamma : E \to E$ be such that $\gamma|_{E_i} = \gamma_i$. Clearly $\gamma_i(E_i) = E_i$. Let $\theta < \chi < 1$ where $\theta^{-1} = \inf_{x\in X} |T'(x)|$. We state the following theorem, which is true in a much wider setting.

Theorem. [FHY81, Theorem A.6] *Let $\xi : E \to E$ be a $C^{r+\alpha}$ map with fixed point at the origin such that the Lipschitz constant* $\mathrm{Lip}(\gamma - \xi) < \min\{\chi - \|\gamma_1\|, \|\gamma_2^{-1}\|^{-1} - \chi\}$. *The set*

$$W^{s,\chi}_\xi = \left\{ x \in E \mid \sup_{n\geq 0} \|\chi^{-n}\xi^n(x)\| < \infty \right\}$$

is the graph of a $C^{r+\alpha}$ function $\zeta : E_1 \to E_2$. Moreover, if $x \in W^{s,\chi}_\xi$, then $\chi^{-n}\xi^n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, if the differential $D\xi(0) = \gamma$, then $W^{s,\chi}_\xi$ is tangent to E_1.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small and let $N_0 \subset E$ be a neighbourhood of the origin with diameter at most ϵ. Let $\mathrm{Exp} : N_0 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the smooth exponential map from a subset of E to $X \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathrm{Exp}(0,0) = (p, u(p))$. Let $\xi = \mathrm{Exp}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega})$. Let ϵ be sufficiently small that the restriction of $\xi - \gamma$ to N_0 satisfies the conditions of [FHY81, Theorem A.6].

Applying the exponential map to $W^{s,\chi}_{\xi}$ we obtain a $C^{r+\alpha}$ immersed manifold $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon} \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ contained in a ball of radius ϵ centred at $(p, u(p))$, say $B_\epsilon(p, u(p))$, such that for $(x, s) \in \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$ we have

$$\chi^{-n} d(\tilde{\omega}^n(x, s), (p, u(p)) \to 0, \tag{30}$$

as $n \to \infty$. We call $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$ the local stable manifold through the fixed point.

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.** We assume that U is the graph of a continuous function. By Theorem 3, U is Lipschitz continuous. We extend the stable manifold such that it is both $C^{r+\alpha}$ and equal to the Lipschitz continuous quasi-graph U.

We show that $\tilde{T}_g(\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}) \supset \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$. Consider, $\tilde{T}_g(\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}) = \{(y,t) = \tilde{T}_g(x,s) \mid (x,s) \in \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}\}$. As $\tilde{T}_g$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$, this is a $C^{r+\alpha}$ immersed manifold. Suppose that $(y,t) \in \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$. Let $(x,s) = \tilde{\omega}(y,t)$. Let $B_\epsilon(p, u(p))$ be a small ball about the fixed point such that $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon} \subset B_\epsilon(p, u(p))$. As $(y,t) \in B_\epsilon(p, u(p))$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ contracts, we have that $(x,s) \in B_\epsilon(p, u(p))$. To see that (x,s) is in the local stable manifold, consider the lift to the tangent space $\mathrm{Exp}^{-1}(x,s) = v_{(x,s)}$ and $\mathrm{Exp}^{-1}(y,t) = v_{(y,t)}$. Notice that

$$\|\chi^{-n}\xi^n(v_{(x,s)})\| = \|\chi^{-n}\xi^{n+1}(v_{(y,t)})\| = \chi\|\chi^{-(n+1)}\xi^{n+1}(v_{(y,t)})\| \to 0,$$

as $v_{(y,t)} \in W^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$. Therefore, $v_{(x,s)} \in W^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$. Applying the exponential map, we see that $(x,s) \in \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$. Therefore, $(y,t) \in \tilde{T}_g(\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon})$, so $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon} \subset \tilde{T}_g(\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon})$.

Let N be the least integer for which there exists a cylinder $C_N \subset [0,1]$ of rank N with $C_N \subset B_\epsilon(p)$. Then, $T^N(C_N) = [0,1]$. Define $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{C_N}$, the restriction of $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{\epsilon}$ to C_N. Furthermore, as E_1 is transverse to E_2, the immersed manifold $\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{C_N}$ is the graph of a function $X \to \mathbb{R}$. By applying the skew product iteratively we can define a surjective $C^{r+\alpha}$ function $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{W} = \tilde{T}^N_g(\tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{C_N})$, where $\tilde{\omega}(\tilde{W}) \subset \tilde{W}$. We, finally, prove the strong dichotomy.

Proof of Theorem 5. It suffices to show that $U \subset \tilde{W}$ are both are continuous graphs over $[0,1]$, then check continuity at $1 \cong 0$. Suppose $(x, u(x)) \in U$ but $(x, u(x)) \notin \tilde{W}$. If $(x, u(x)) \in U$ then $\tilde{\omega}(x, u(x)) = (\omega x, u(\omega x)) \in U$, similarly $\tilde{\omega}(\tilde{W}) \subset \tilde{W}$. By applying the pre-image $\tilde{\omega}$ repeatedly, it suffices to assume $x \in C_N$ with $(x, u(x)) \notin \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{C_N}$. By definition, if $(x, u(x)) \notin \tilde{W}^{s,\chi}_{C_N}$, there exists subsequence n_m such that $\chi^{-n_m} d(\tilde{\omega}^{n_m}(x, u(x)), (p, u(p))) \to \infty$. We know that $\theta^{-n_m} > \chi^{-n_m}$ and $d(\omega^{n_m}x, p)^{-1} \geq \theta^{-n_m}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d((\omega^{n_m}x, u(\omega^{n_m}x)), (p, u(p)))}{d(\omega^{n_m}x, p)} \to \infty, \tag{31}$$

contradicting that u is Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, $U = \tilde{W}$; so, U is the graph of a $C^{r+\alpha}$ function on $[0,1]$. To show that $\tilde{W}$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at 0, let V be an open ball about a pre-image of 0 not containing 0; as T has $b \geq 2$ branches, such a set exists. We know that $\tilde{W}$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$ on V. As $\tilde{T}_g$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$ and $\tilde{W}$ is $\tilde{T}_g$ invariant, it follows that $U = \tilde{W}$ is $C^{r+\alpha}$ at 0 as required. □

7. The box dimension of quasi-graphs

In this section, we calculate the box dimension of the quasi-graphs of partially hyperbolic skew products $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C^{\mathrm{Lip},2}_P)$. Throughout, we will use the notation U_{C_n} to denote the restriction of the skew product to the cylinder C_n, thus $|U_{C_n}|$ is the height of the quasi-graph over this cylinder and $C_n \times U_{C_n} \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ is the smallest rectangle containing every element of U over the cylinder C_n. By Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we can assume that P consists of fixed points, T is full branched and $\partial g_x(t) > 0$. The main difficulty is in establishing various bounded distortion estimates; once we obtain those, the argument follows that in [Pes97, Theorem 13.1].

As we only assume T is a Markov map, we abuse notation slightly by defining $\|T'\|_\infty$ and $\mathfrak{m}(|T'|)$ as the supremum and infimum of $|T'(x)|$ for $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{b-1} \mathrm{Int}(X_j)$ respectively. Note that $\mathfrak{m}|T'| = \theta^{-1}$. Also, we notice that conditions (6), (7) and (8) can be written as bounds on the derivative of $h^n_x(t)$, see Remark 1.3. In

particular, if the orbit segment $x, \dots, T^{n-1}x$ visits $X \backslash B_\delta(P)$ j-many times, there exists $0 < \lambda_\delta < 1$ such that, for all $(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\partial(h_x^n(s))| \leq C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda_\delta^j. \tag{32}$$

7.1. **Bounds on the height of cylinders.** To calculate the box dimension of graphs it is important to estimate the height of the graph over a cylinder. We omit details of the argument for the lower bound as the argument follows the uniformly expanding version which can be found as [MW12, Proposition 3.1] and [Bed89, Proposition 8].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic. There exists constant $A > 0$ independent of C_n such that*

$$\mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \leq A \sup_{(x,s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)).$$

Proof. See [MW12, Proposition 3.1] for the affine case or [Bed89, Proposition 8] for g_x a diffeomorphism, and Remark 7.2. □

Remark 7.2. In [MW12, Proposition 3.1], a straight line path $\hat{\gamma}$ joining the supremum and infimum of the height of the invariant graph u over the cylinder is defined and it is proved that both the horizontal and vertical path integrals along $\tilde{T}_g^n \hat{\gamma} = \gamma$ are bounded. In our setting, $\hat{\gamma}$ could be a vertical line; when the infimum and supremum of the quasi-graph over C_n happen to both be at the same point of R_P. In this case, as U is invariant, $\tilde{T}_g^n \hat{\gamma} = \gamma$ is also a vertical line, so the horizontal derivative is 0 and the vertical derivative is bounded by $\int |\gamma_V'(a)|\, da \leq 2\|u\|_\infty$, where γ_V is the projection of γ onto the vertical axis. This observation allows us to continue to use Lemma 7.1 in our setting.

The lower bound is actually more straightforward, employing the properties of quasi-graphs.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic. There exists constant $B > 1$ independent of C_n such that*

$$\mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \geq B^{-1} \inf_{(x,s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)).$$

Proof. As U is not a continuous function of X, we have $|U_x| > 0$ for every $x \in R_P$, by Theorem 3. Let $x \in C_n$ be a pre-image of $p \in P$ such that $T^n x = p$. Therefore $|U_x| > 0$ and $g_x^n(U_x) = U_p$. Denote the end-points of $|U_x|$ by t, s. As U is invariant, the end-points of U_x map to the end-points of U_p. Let $t' = \sup(U_p)$, then we have $h_x^n(t') = t$; similarly let $s' = \inf(U_p)$, so that $h_x^n(s') = s$. Hence, as h_x^n is a diffeomorphism, the Mean Value Theorem gives:

$$\mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \geq |t - s| = |h_x^n(t') - h_x^n(s')| \geq \inf_{r' \in U_p} |\partial(h_x^n(r'))||U_p|.$$

As U_p is a closed interval the infimum is attained, say at the point (x, r'). As the fibres map bijectively to each other, $r' = g_x^n(r)$ for some $r \in U_x$, so

$$\partial(h_x^n(r')) = \partial(h_x \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(r')) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(g_x^n(r))) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(r)),$$

as required. □

Combining the two lemmas we have the following result.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in S(X, \mathbb{R}, \bar{C}_P^2)$ be partially hyperbolic. Let C_n be a cylinder of rank n. There exists a constant $D_1 > 1$, independent of C_n, such that,*

$$D_1^{-1} \inf_{(x,s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)) \leq \mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \leq D_1 \sup_{(x,s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)).$$

We need to be able to strengthen this result to show that there is no need to take the infimum and supremum, rather there exists a constant independent of (x, t) such that the bound holds for any $(x, s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}$. We do this by proving bounded distortion results in the vertical direction.

7.2. **Continuity of the quasi-graph on $X \setminus R_P$.** We can use the upper bound on the heights of the quasi-graph over cylinders to prove continuity of U at points in $X \setminus R_P$. The following is a standard fact.

Proposition 7.5. [Bed89, Lemma 5], [Fal97b, Proposition 4.2] *Let $\alpha > 0$. Let T be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ expanding circle endomorphism. $C_n \subset X$ be a cylinder of rank n. There exists $D_0 > 0$ such that for any $x \in C_n$, $D_0^{-1} \left(|T'|^n(x)\right)^{-1} \leq \operatorname{diam}(C_n) \leq D_0 \left(|T'|^n(x)\right)^{-1}$.*

We now prove that the invariant graph of a partially hyperbolic skew product is continuous on $X \setminus R_P$.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$. The quasi-graph U is continuous at $x \in X \setminus R_P$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X \setminus R_P$. Suppose $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.1 and let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\delta < \epsilon/2$. Let n be the number of visits of the orbit segment $x, \ldots, T^{M-1}x$ to $X \setminus B_\epsilon(P)$. By Corollary 2.2, as $M \to \infty$, we have $n \to \infty$.

Fix $\eta > 0$ small. Let M be sufficiently large that $D_1 C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda_\delta^n < \eta$ where D_1 is as in Lemma 7.4 and λ_δ, $C_{\mathcal{S}}$ are as in condition (32). Let D_0 be the constant from bounded distortion, Proposition 7.5. Let C_N be a cylinder of rank $N \geq M$ containing x such that $\operatorname{diam}(C_N) \leq D_0^{-1} \|T'\|_\infty^{-M} \delta$. Therefore, for $0 \leq j \leq M-1$, if $T^j x \in X \setminus B_\epsilon(P)$, then the intersections $T^j(C_N) \cap B_\delta(P) = \emptyset$. By Proposition 7.4 and condition (32),

$$\operatorname{Height}(C_N) \leq D_1 \sup_{(y,s) \in C_N \times U_{C_N}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \partial h_{T^j y}(g_y^{j+1}(s)) \leq D_1 C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda_\delta^n < \eta.$$

Thus, x is continuous on $X \setminus R_P$. □

Using continuity, we can prove that every point in the vertical cylinder U_{C_n} is attained at some point by the quasi-graph.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $t \in U_{C_n}$. Then there exists $x \in C_n$ such that $t \in U_x$.*

Proof. Let $s = \sup(U_{C_n})$ and let $(x, s) \in U$. As $x \in C_{j,n}$ for some j, we must have $s = \sup(U_{C_{j,n}})$. A similar statement holds for the lower boundary. Let $t \in U_{C_n}$. Suppose $t \notin U_{C_{j,n}}$ for all j such that $0 \leq j \leq b-1$, then we must have two adjacent cylinders of the same rank $C_{j_0,n}, C_{j_1,n} \subset C_n$ such that $\sup(U_{C_{j_0,n}}) < t < \inf(U_{C_{j_1,n}})$.

The cylinders $C_{j_0,n}$, $C_{j_1,n}$ intersect at a single point, say z. Hence

$$\liminf_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to z} u(y) < t < \limsup_{y \in X \setminus R_P, y \to z} u(y).$$

If $z \in X \setminus R_P$, this contradicts the continuity of $u(z)$ on $X \setminus R_P$ guaranteed by Proposition 7.6; otherwise $z \in R_P$, so $t \in U_z$. Hence, we can find j such that $t \in U_{C_{j,n}}$. By iteration, for any $N > n$, there exist nested cylinders C_N of rank N such that $t \in U_{C_N} \subset U_{C_n}$. Letting $N \to \infty$, we have $C_N \searrow \{y\}$ for some $y \in C_n$. Hence $t \in U_y$. □

7.3. **Bounded distortion.** Our first task is to prove that a point in a vertical cylinder iterated under the dynamics is not repelled too far away from the quasi-graph

Lemma 7.8. *Let $\tilde{T}_g$ be partially hyperbolic. Suppose $t \in U_{C_n}$. There exist intervals $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ depending only on the skew product such that, for any $x \in C_n$, we have $g_x^n(t) \in V$.*

Proof. As $t \in U_{C_n}$, by Proposition 7.7 there exists $y \in C_n$ such that $t \in U_y$. Therefore, by Corollary 2, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}^\rho$ such that $u_s : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u_s = u$ on $X \setminus R_P$, such that $u_s(y) = t$. We need to extend the invariant graph as y may be in R_P. By the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_x^n(t) - g_y^n(t)| &= |g_x^n(u_s(y)) - g_y^n(u_s(y))| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| g_{T^{n-1}x} \ldots g_{T^{j+1}x} g_{T^j x}(u_s(T^j y)) - g_{T^{n-1}x} \ldots g_{T^{j+1}x} g_{T^j y}(u_s(T^j y)) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\partial g_{T^{n-1}x}\|_\infty \ldots \|\partial g_{T^{j+1}x}\|_\infty |g_{T^j x}(u_s(T^j y)) - g_{T^j y}(u_s(T^j y))|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\partial g_{T^{n-1}x}\|_\infty \dots \|\partial g_{T^{j+1}x}\|_\infty C_W d(T^j x, T^j y),$$

where C_W is the supremum of the Lipschitz constants of the functions $x \mapsto g_x(r)$ for $r \in [-\|u_s\|_\infty, \|u_s\|_\infty]$, u_s is bounded by u and the choice of $t \in U$. As $d(T^j x, T^j y) \in C_{n-j}$ for some cylinder of rank $n-j$, using Proposition 7.5, we have

$$|g_x^n(t) - g_y^n(t)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_W D_0 \|\partial g\|_\infty^{n-j} \left(\mathfrak{m}|T'|^{n-j}\right)^{-1}$$

where $\|\partial g\|_\infty \leq \kappa^{-1}\mathfrak{m}|T'|$ by the partial hyperbolicity assumption (3). Hence the sum converges and

$$|g_x^n(t) - g_y^n(t)| \leq \frac{C_W D_0}{1-\kappa^{-1}} = C_V.$$

As U is $\tilde{T}_g$-invariant and $t \in U_y$ for some $y \in C_n$ by Proposition 7.7, we know that $g_y^n(t) \in [-\|u\|_\infty, \|u\|_\infty]$. Therefore, $g_x^n(t) \in [-\|u_s\|_\infty - C_V, \|u_s\|_\infty + C_V] =: V$ as required. □

Now we can prove our bounded distortion result in the vertical direction. We prove this in two parts, by firstly varying the horizontal coordinate then the vertical coordinate. This is much more subtle than bounded distortion in, for example, [Bed89, Lemma 3] as we do not have uniform contraction of the heights of cylinders.

Lemma 7.9. *Let $t \in U_{C_n}$. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic. Then for all $x, y \in C_n$, there exists constant $D_2 > 0$ such that*

$$D_2 \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(t))}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j y}(g_y^{j+1}(t))} \leq D_2. \tag{33}$$

Proof. As log is smooth and X is compact, it suffices to bound

$$\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(t)) - \log \partial h_{T^j y}(g_y^{j+1}(t))\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_g'(T^j x)|g_x^{j+1}(t) - g_y^{j+1}(t)| + C_g(g_y^{j+1}(t)) d(T^j x, T^j y). \tag{34}$$

Denoting the least Lipschitz constant of $t \mapsto \log \partial g_x(t)$ by $C_g'(x)$ and the least Lipschitz constant of $x \mapsto \log \partial g_x(t)$ by $C_g(t)$. Both exist as $g \in C_P^{2,\mathrm{Lip}}$. As X is compact and by Lemma 7.8, $g_y^{j+1}(t) \in V$ for j such that $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, the Lipschitz constants $C_g'(x)$ and $C_g(t)$ are bounded independently of C_n. We bound

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_x^{j+1}(t) - g_y^{j+1}(t)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} |g_{T^j x} \dots g_{T^{i+1}x} g_{T^i x} g_{T^{i-1}y} \dots g_y(t) - g_{T^j x} \dots g_{T^{i+1}x} g_{T^i y} g_{T^{i-1}y} \dots g_y(t)| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} \|\partial g_{T^j x}\|_\infty \dots \|\partial g_{T^{i+1}x}\|_\infty |g_{T^i x} g_{T^{i-1}y} \dots g_y(t) - g_{T^i y} g_{T^{i-1}y} \dots g_y(t)| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} C_g(g_y^i t) \|\partial g\|_\infty^{j-i} d(T^i x, T^i y).
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Again, $g_y^i(t) \in V$ for $i \leq n$, so the constant $C_g(g_y^i(t)) \leq C_V$ where $C_V > 0$ is independent of the choice of cylinder. As $x, y \in C_n$, we have $T^i x, T^i y \in C_{n-i}$ for some cylinder of rank $n-i$. By Proposition 7.5, there exists $D_0 > 0$ such that

$$d(T^i x, T^i y) \leq D_0 (\mathfrak{m}|T|)^{-(n-i)} = D_0 (\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-(n-j)} (\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-(j-i)}.$$

By partial hyperbolicity, (35) is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j} C_V D_0 \kappa^{-(j-i)} (\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-(n-j)} \leq C_1 (\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-(n-j)},$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. Substituting this into (34) and using proposition 7.5, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C'_g(T^j x)|g_x^{j+1}(t) - g_y^{j+1}(t)| + C_V d(T^j x, T^j y) \leq C_2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-(n-j)}.$$

As $(\mathfrak{m}|T'|)^{-1} < 1$, the sum converges as required. □

We now perturb the vertical coordinate.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $x \in C_n$. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic. Then for all $s, t \in U_{C_n}$, there exists constant $D_3 > 0$ such that*

$$D_3 \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(t))}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s))} \leq D_3. \tag{36}$$

Proof. If $x \in R_P$ then, as $\partial g_p(t) = 1$ for $p \in P$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we can ignore the terms of the product when $T^j x \in P$. By Lemma 7.8, $g_x^{j+1}(t)$ and $g_x^{j+1}(s) \in V$. As log is smooth on the compact set X, it suffices to bound

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\log \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(t)) - \log \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s))| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sup_{r \in V} |\partial(\log \partial h_{T^j x}(r))||g_x^{j+1}(t) - g_x^{j+1}(s)|, \tag{37}$$

as $h_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is C^2. Denote $t' = g_x^n(t) \in V$ and $s' = g_x^n(s) \in V$. As h_p is the identity for $p \in P$, we have $\partial(\log \partial h_p(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so there exists $r' \in V$ such that (37) can be written as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sup_{r \in V} |\partial(\log \partial h_{T^j x})(r)| \, |h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(t') - h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(s')|$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\partial(\log \partial h_{T^j x}(r')) - \partial(\log \partial h_p(r'))||h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(t') - h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(s')|. \tag{38}$$

Recall, by assumption, that $x \mapsto \partial^2 h_{T^j x}(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous. As $r' \in V$, there is a constant C_V depending on V such that (38) is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_V d(T^j x, p)|h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(t') - h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x}(s')|. \tag{39}$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, (39) is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_V d(T^j x, p)\|\partial(h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x})\|_\infty |t' - s'| \tag{40}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the uniform norm in the fibre direction. As $|t' - s'| \leq \operatorname{diam} V$ we can incorporate it in the constant C_V and redefine it as $C_V \operatorname{diam} V$. Consider the orbit segment $x, \dots, T^{n-1}x$. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small so that Lemma 2.1 holds. Suppose that K elements of the orbit $x, \dots, T^{n-1}x$ are contained in $X \setminus B_\delta(P) = G$.

Denote each visit to G by $T^{j_k}x \in G$. If $x \notin G$, we let $n_{-1} = 0$ and n_0 is the first visit to G, and if $x \in G$ then $n_0 = 0$. Thus, we can write the sum (40) as

$$\sum_{k=-1}^{K-1} \left(\sum_{j=i_k}^{i_{k+1}-1} C_V d(T^j x, p)\|\partial(h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x})\|_\infty \right), \tag{41}$$

where $T^j x \in B_\epsilon(P)$ for $i_k < j < i_{k+1}$. Consider each summand of (41) individually. For $i_k \leq j < i_{k+1}$ there are at least $K - k - 1$ visits to G in the orbit segment $T^j x \dots T^{n-1}x$. Hence, by condition (32) the k^{th} term of (41) is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=i_k}^{i_{k+1}-1} C_V d(T^j x, p)\|\partial(h_{T^{j+1}x} \dots h_{T^{n-1}x})\|_\infty \leq C_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda_\delta^{K-k-1} \sum_{j=i_k}^{i_{k+1}-1} C_V d(T^j x, p). \tag{42}$$

Finally, as $T^{i_k+1}x \dots T^{i_{k+1}-1}x \in B_\epsilon(P)$, by Lemma 2.1 and bounded distortion, the sum converges to, say $C_1 > 0$. So, if $x \in G$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\log \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(t)) - \log \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s))| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} C_{\mathcal{S}} C_1 \lambda_\delta^{K-k-1} \leq C_2.$$

If $x \notin G$, by Lemma 2.1, the extra $k = -1$ term is bounded by $\sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} C_1 d(T^j x, p) \leq C_3$. Letting $D_2 = e^{C_2+C_3}$ we have completed the proof. □

This gives us the vertical bounded distortion estimate that we need. By these results and Lemma 7.4 we have the following.

Lemma 7.11. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic. There exists constant $D > 1$ such that for any $x \in C_n$,*

$$D^{-1}\mathcal{D}h^n(x) \leq \mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \leq D\mathcal{D}h^n(x).$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.4, there exists $(y, t) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}$ satisfying the upper bound and $(z, r) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}$ satisfying the lower bound. By Lemmas 7.5, 7.9 and 7.10 we have, for any $(x, s) \in C_n \times U_{C_n}$,

$$(D_1 D_2 D_3)^{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)) \leq \mathrm{Height}(U_{C_n}) \leq D_1 D_2 D_3 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial h_{T^j x}(g_x^{j+1}(s)).$$

Let $D = D_1 D_2 D_3$. In particular, choosing $s = \sup(U_x)$, the result follows. □

7.4. **Proof of Theorem 6.** We now calculate the box dimension of the graph of u under the partial hyperbolicity hypothesis.

Definition 7.12. Let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the set of all cylinders of rank n. Let $C_\ell \in \mathcal{U}_\ell$. Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Define

$$Z(\phi, n) = \inf_{\substack{\mathcal{U} \subset \bigcup_{\ell \geq n} \mathcal{U}_\ell \\ \mathcal{U} \text{ covers } X}} \sum_{C_\ell \in \mathcal{U}} \exp \sup_{x \in C_\ell} \phi^\ell(x) \quad \text{and} \quad CZ(\phi, n) = \sum_{C_n \in \mathcal{U}_n} \exp \sup_{x \in C_n} \phi^n(x).$$

We define the *topological pressure* and *upper capacity topological pressure* of ϕ over X respectively as $\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z(\phi, n)$ and $\overline{C\mathcal{P}}(\phi) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log CZ(\phi, n)$.

Suppose ϕ is continuous. As we are taking the pressure over a compact, T-invariant set X, the upper and lower capacity topological pressure and the topological pressure are equal [Pes97, Theorem 11.5].

Definition 7.13. Let U be a non-empty bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $N(U, r)$ be the least number of balls of diameter r needed to cover U. Define the lower and upper box counting dimension of U as

$$\underline{\dim}_B U = \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\log N(U, r)}{-\log r} \qquad \overline{\dim}_B U = \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log N(U, r)}{-\log r}.$$

respectively. When the limits coincide, the *box dimension* $\dim_B U$ of U is the common value.

By [Fal97a, 3.1] we can replace $N(U, r)$ by the maximum number of disjoint balls of diameter r with centres in U, denoted $\tilde{N}(U, r)$. When covering the set U by boxes, we will need to be able to accurately estimate the size of the cylinder sets. To do this we will use Moran covers.

Definition 7.14. Let $r > 0$. For all $x \in X$ define the unique integer $n(x)$ such that

$$\left(|T'|^{n(x)}(x)\right)^{-1} > r \quad \text{and} \quad r\|T'\|_\infty^{-1} < \left(|T'|^{n(x)+1}(x)\right)^{-1} \leq r. \tag{43}$$

Let C_x be a cylinder set containing x. If $x' \in C_x$ and $n(x') \leq n(x)$, then $C_x \subseteq C_{x'}$. Let R_i be the largest cylinder containing x such that $R_i = C_{x'}$ for some $x' \in R_i$ and $n(x') \leq n(x'')$ for all $x'' \in R_i$. The collection of all such R_i determines a pairwise disjoint partition of X (except at the end-points of cylinders). Let $\mathcal{M}_r = \{R_i\}_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{M}_r|-1}$, denoting the number of cylinders in $\mathcal{M}_r$ by $|\mathcal{M}_r|$. We call $\mathcal{M}_r$ a *Moran cover of X at scale r with respect to $|T'|^{-1}$*.

We first determine a lower bound on the lower box dimension of U.

Lemma 7.15. *Let $\alpha > 0$. Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic with invariant quasi-graph U not the graph of a continuous function. Let t be the unique solution to the generalised Bowen equation* (4)*. Then $\underline{\dim}_B U \geq t$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_r = \{R_i\}$ be a Moran cover at scale r with respect to $|T'|^{-1}$. Let $x \in X$ be given, then define $x_i \in R_i$ as the pre-image of $x \in X$ with least n such that $T^n x_i = x$. As R_i is a cylinder, such an x_i exists. Denote the rank of each cylinder R_i by $n(x_i)$. By Lemma 7.5 there exists $D_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$D_0^{-1} r < D_0^{-1} \left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i) \right)^{-1} \leq |R_i|. \tag{44}$$

Therefore, for each element R_i of $\mathcal{M}_r$, we can find at least one open interval of diameter $D_0^{-1} r$ centred in R_i. Let $p \in P$. There exists $y_i \in R_i$, a pre-image of p such that $T^{n(x_i)} y_i = p$ and y_i is the pre-image of p in R_i with smallest n such that $T^n y_i = p$. By Lemmas 7.3, 7.9, 7.10 and 7.11, we have

$$\mathrm{Height}(U_{R_i}) \geq |U_{y_i}| \geq \inf_{t \in U_{T^{n(x_i)} y_i}} \|\partial h_{y_i}^{n(x_i)}(t)\|_\infty |U_p| \geq C_0^{-1} \mathcal{D} h^{n(x_i)}(y_i).$$

for $C_0 = D|U_p| > 0$ where D is as in Proposition 7.11.

By Lemma 7.5 there exists $D_0 > 0$ such that each y_i must be distance $D_0^{-1}|R_i|$ apart. By (44), each y_i must be distance $D_0^{-2} r$ apart. Let $K_0^{-1} = D_0^{-2}$. We can find at least

$$C_0^{-1} \frac{\mathcal{D} h^{n(x_i)}(y_i)}{K_0^{-1} r} - 1 \tag{45}$$

disjoint balls of diameter $K_0^{-1} r$ with centre in U_{y_i} and not intersecting any other interval U_{y_j}.

Therefore, by (45) and bounded distortion, letting $C_1 = D_0 C_0$ we see that

$$C_1^{-1} \frac{\mathcal{D} h_{x_i}^{n(x_i)}(x_i)}{K_0^{-1} \left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i) \right)^{-1}} - 1 < C_1^{-1} \frac{\mathcal{D} h_{x_i}^{n(x_i)}(x_i)}{K_0^{-1} r} - 1 \leq \tilde{N}(U_{R_i}, K_0^{-1} r). \tag{46}$$

By partial hyperbolicity, for $n(x_i)$ sufficiently large $|\mathcal{D} h_x^{n(x_i)}(x_i) |T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i)| > \kappa^{n(x_i)}$ is large and so we can incorporate the -1 into the constant. Let $\underline{d} = \underline{\dim}_B U$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By the definition of lower box dimension, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists sequence $r_\ell \to 0$ such that $\tilde{N}(U, r_\ell) < r_\ell^{-(\underline{d}+\epsilon)}$.

Let $\phi_\epsilon = (\log |T'| - (\underline{d} + \epsilon) \log |T'| + \log \mathcal{D} h)$. Let n_ℓ be the infimum of the rank of cylinders in $\mathcal{M}_r$, so $n_\ell = \inf\{n(x_i) \mid x_i \in R_i\}$ and notice that as $\ell \to \infty$, $n_\ell \to \infty$. As $\mathcal{M}_r$ is an example of a cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X for cylinders of rank at least n_ℓ, then

$$Z(\phi, n_\ell) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}|-1} \exp \sup_{x \in R_i} \phi^{n(x_i)}(x) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{M}_r|-1} \sup_{x \in R_i} \left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x) \right)^{-(\underline{d}+\epsilon)} \frac{\mathcal{D} h^{n(x_i)}(x)}{\left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x) \right)^{-1}}.$$

By bounded distortion, Lemmas 7.5, 7.10 and 7.9, we can replace the supremum by a constant C_2 and sum over x_i. Thus,

$$Z(\phi, n_\ell) \leq C_2 \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{M}_r|-1} \left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i) \right)^{-(\underline{d}+\epsilon)} \frac{\mathcal{D} h^{n(x_i)}(x_i)}{\left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i) \right)^{-1}} \leq C_3 r_\ell^{\underline{d}+\epsilon} r_\ell^{-(\underline{d}+\epsilon)} = C_3,$$

where $C_3 > 0$ is independent of r_ℓ. Hence, $\frac{1}{n_\ell} \log Z(\phi_\epsilon, n_\ell) \leq \frac{1}{n_\ell} \log C_3$. Letting $r_\ell \to 0$, hence $n_\ell \to \infty$, we have $\mathcal{P}(\phi_\epsilon) \leq 0$. As pressure is monotone increasing, $t \leq \underline{d} + \epsilon$. As $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $t \leq \underline{d}$. □

We prove that the upper box dimension is bounded above by the unique solution t to the generalised Bowen equation (4).

Lemma 7.16. *Let $\tilde{T}_g \in \mathcal{S}(X, \mathbb{R}, C_P^{\mathrm{Lip},2})$ be partially hyperbolic with invariant quasi-graph not the graph of a continuous function. Let t be the unique solution to the generalised Bowen equation* (4)*. Then $\overline{\dim}_B U \leq t$.*

Proof. Again, let $\mathcal{M}_r = \{R_i\}$ be a Moran cover at scale r with respect to $|T'|^{-1}$ and let $x_i \in R_i$ be the pre-image of rank $n(x_i)$ of a given point x. So,

$$|R_i| \leq D_0 \left(|T'|^{n(x_i)+1}(x_i) \right)^{-1} \leq D_0 r.$$

Therefore, there are at most $D_0 + 1 = C_0$ balls of diameter r needed to cover each R_i. By Proposition 7.11 and applying bounded distortion, Lemmas 7.9 and 7.10, the height of U over R_i is bounded by

$$\mathrm{Height}(U_{R_i}) \leq D\mathcal{D}h^{n(x_i)}(x_i).$$

So, the number of balls of diameter r needed to cover U over R_i is bounded by

$$N(U_{R_i}, r) \leq C_1 \frac{\mathcal{D}h^{n(x_i)}(x_i)}{\left(|T'|^{n(x_i)}(x_i)\right)^{-1}} + 1, \tag{47}$$

where $C_1 = DC_0 > 0$. By partial hyperbolicity as above, we can incorporate the 1 into our constant.

Let $\overline{d} = \overline{\dim}_B U$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By rearranging the definition of upper box dimension, there exists a sequence $r_\ell \to 0$ such that $N(U, r_\ell) \geq r_\ell^{\epsilon - \overline{d}}$.

Taking logs of the definition of a Moran cover, we see that there exist constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$ independent of r_ℓ such that

$$-C_2 \log r_\ell - 1 \leq n(x_i) \leq -C_3 \log(\|T\|_\infty^{-1} r_\ell) + 1.$$

For sufficiently small $r_\ell > 0$, $n(x_i)$ can only take $B \leq -C_3 \log(\|T\|_\infty^{-1} r_\ell)$ different values.

Let $U|_{\{C_N \in \mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}\}}$ be the restriction of U to cylinders in the Moran cover $\mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}$ of rank N. Denote the number of balls of diameter r required to cover $U|_{\{C_N \in \mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}\}}$ by $N(U|_{\{C_N \in \mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}\}}, r_\ell)$. As $n(x_i)$ can only take B different values, there exists N such that

$$N(U|_{\{C_N \in \mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}\}}, r_\ell) \geq \frac{N(U, r_\ell)}{B} \geq \frac{r_\ell^{\epsilon - \overline{d}}}{-C_3 \log(\mathfrak{m}(|T'|^{-1}) r_\ell)} \geq r_\ell^{2\epsilon - \overline{d}}$$

for sufficiently small r_ℓ. Let $\psi_{2\epsilon} = (\log |T'| - (\overline{d} - 2\epsilon) \log |T'| + \log \mathcal{D}h)$. Then, again by bounded distortion, Lemmas 7.5, 7.9 and 7.10, we have

$$\overline{CZ}(\psi_{2\epsilon}, N) = \sum_{C_N \in \mathcal{U}_N} \exp \sup_{x \in C_N} (\psi_{2\epsilon}^N x) \geq C_4 \sum_{C_N \in \mathcal{M}_{r_\ell}} \left(|T'|^N(x_i)\right)^{\overline{d} - 2\epsilon} \frac{\mathcal{D}h^N(x_i)}{\left(|T'|^N(x_i)\right)^{-1}}.$$

Thus, $\overline{CZ}(\psi_{2\epsilon}, N) \geq C_4 r_\ell^{\overline{d} - 2\epsilon} r_\ell^{2\epsilon - \overline{d}} = C_4$ where C_4 is independent of r_ℓ. As $r_\ell \to 0$, $N \to \infty$, $\overline{CP}(\psi_{2\epsilon}) \geq 0$. As X is compact, $\mathcal{P}(\psi_{2\epsilon}) = \overline{CP}(\psi_{2\epsilon})$. Pressure is monotone increasing and $\mathcal{P}(\psi_t) = 0$, so $t \geq \overline{d} + 2\epsilon$. As ϵ is arbitrary, $t \geq \overline{d}$. □

Proof of Theorem 6. By Lemmas 7.15 and 7.16, $t \leq \underline{\dim}_B U \leq \overline{\dim}_B U \leq t$. As t is unique [MW12], $t = \dim_B U$. □

Remark 7.17. An open question is the Hausdorff dimension of the quasi-graph. There has been much significant progress in the study of Hausdorff dimension of (uniformly contracting) Weierstrass-type graphs, c.f. [Ota15], [She15], [BBR14]; by relating the natural extension of the skew product to fat solenoidal maps using Ledrappier-Young Theory [LY85], [Led92]. The obstruction in the setting of this paper is developing transversality results (cf. [Tsu01]) to quasi-graphs.

References

[AP11] P. Ashwin and O. Podvigina. On local attraction properties and a stability index for heteroclinic connections. *Nonlinearity*, 24:887–929, 2011.

[AYYK92] J. Alexander, A. Yorke, Z. You, and I. Kan. Riddled basins. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 2:795–813, 1992.

[Bar15] K. Barański. Dimension of the graphs of the Weierstrass-type function. In C. Bandt, K. Falconer, and M Zähle, editors, *Fractal Geometry and Stochastics V: Progress in Probability*, volume 70. Springer International, 2015.

[BBR14] K. Barański, B. Bárány, and J. Romanovska. On the dimension of the graph of the classical Weierstrass function. *Adv. Math.*, 265:32–59, 2014.

[Bed89] T. Bedford. The box dimension of self-affine graphs and repellers. *Nonlinearity*, 2:53–71, 1989.

[Fal97a] K. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. J. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 1997.

[Fal97b] K. Falconer. *Techniques in Fractal Geometry*. J. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 1997.

[FHY81] R. Fathi, M. R. Herman, and J. C. Yoccoz. *A proof of Pesin's stable manifold theorem: Geometric Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1007*. Springer, Berlin, 1981.

[GH16] M. Gharaei and A. J. Homburg. Skew products of interval maps over subshifts. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, 22:941-958, 2016.

[HL93] T. Y. Hu and K.-S. Lau. Fractal dimensions and singularities of the Weierstrass type functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 335:649–665, 1993.

[HNW02] D. Hadjiloucas, M. Nicol, and C. Walkden. Regularity of invariant graphs over hyperbolic systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 22:469–482, 2002.

[HPS77] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh, and M. Shub. *Invariant Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, New York, US, 1977.

[Kel15] G. Keller. Stability index, uncertainty exponent, and thermodynamic formalism for intermingled basins of chaotic attractors. *arXiv: 1510.00619*, 2015.

[Kud10] Yu. G. Kudryashov. Bony attractors. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 44:219–222, 2010.

[KV14] V. Kleptsyn and D. Volk. Physical measures for nonlinear random walks on interval. *Mosc. Math*, 14:339–365, 2014.

[Led92] F. Ledrappier. On the dimension of some graphs. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 135:285–293, 1992.

[LY85] F. Ledrappier and L.-S. Young. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. *Annals of Mathematics*, 122:509–574, 1985.

[MW12] A. Moss and C. P. Walkden. The Hausdorff dimension of some random invariant graphs. *Nonlinearity*, 25:743–760, 2012.

[Ota15] A. Otani. An entropy formula for a non-self-affine measure with application to Weierstrass-type functions. *arXiv:1503.06451*, 2015.

[Pes97] Y. B. Pesin. *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, US, 1997.

[She15] W. Shen. Hausdorff dimension of the graphs of the classical Weierstrass functions. *arXiv:1503.06451*, 2015.

[Sta99] J. Stark. Regularity of invariant graphs for forced systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 19:155–199, 1999.

[Tsu01] M. Tsujii. Fat solenoidal attractors. *Nonlinearity*, 14:1011–1027, 2001.

School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M14 9PL, UK.
E-mail address: tom.withers@manchester.ac.uk, charles.walkden@manchester.ac.uk.